

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/870,480		06/01/2001	Kouki Fukui	2001_0681A	1037	
513	7590	08/25/2004		EXAMINER		
	,	ND & PONACK, L	AUGHENBAUGH, WALTER			
2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1772		
				DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/870,480	FUKUI, KOUKI				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		 Walter B Aughenbaugh	1772				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport			PSS			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply of within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely, from the mailing date of this comm ONED (35 U.S.C. 8 133)	unication.			
Status							
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 Ju	<u>ıne 2004</u> .					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠	Claim(s) 15-42,44,45 and 47 is/are pending in the day of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 15-42,44,45 and 47 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Applicati	on Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o		• •				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign of All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Applic ty documents have been rece (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Sta	ge			
Attachment							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai					
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date		al Patent Application (PTO-152	2)			

DETAILED ACTION

- The finality of the rejection of the last Office Action mailed December 29, 2003 (Paper
 has been vacated.
- 2. The prosecution of application serial number 09/870,480 has been reopened.
- 3. Claims 43 and 46 were cancelled in the After Final Amendment filed March 29, 2004.

WITHDRAWN REJECTIONS

4. The 35 U.S.C. 103 rejection of claims 16, 17 and 40 and the 35 U.S.C. 103 rejection of claim 39 that was repeated in paragraph 7 of Paper 12 that was repeated in paragraph 5 of Paper 12 have been withdrawn due to Applicant's arguments regarding these rejections in the Appeal Brief filed June 1, 2004.

REPEATED REJECTIONS

- 5. The 35 U.S.C. 103 rejection of claims 15, 18-27 and 31-36 that was repeated in paragraph 4 of Paper 12 has been repeated for the reasons previously made of record in paragraph 4 of Paper 12.
- 6. The 35 U.S.C. 103 rejection of claims 16, 17 and 40 that was repeated in paragraph 5 of Paper 12 has been repeated for the reasons previously made of record in paragraph 5 of Paper 12.
- 7. The 35 U.S.C. 103 rejection of claims 28-30, 37, 38, 41 and 42 that was repeated in paragraph 6 of Paper 12 has been repeated for the reasons previously made of record in paragraph 6 of Paper 12.
- 8. The 35 U.S.C. 103 rejection of claims 44, 45 and 47 made of record in paragraph 10 of Paper 12 has been repeated for the reasons previously made of record in paragraph 10 of Paper 12.

NEW REJECTIONS

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. Claims 16, 17 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parrott et al. in view of Berdan, II and in further view of Clarke. Parrott et al., Berdan, II and Clarke teach the duct as discussed in paragraph 11 of Paper 10. In regard to claims 16 and 40, the bonding agent of Parrott et al. is necessarily noncombustible since Parrott et al. teach that the insulating mineral wool material that is bonded by the bonding agent provides a fire-resisting material (second full paragraph of page 3). In regard to claim 17, Parrott et al. teaches that the mineral wool material (which is composed of mineral fibers) such as rock wool (first full paragraph of page 3) is the noncombustible insulating material (first three lines and last seven lines of page 2); therefore, the noncombustible insulating material comprises noncombustible insulating fibers.
- 10. Claim 39 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parrott et al. in view of Berdan, II and in further view of Clarke and in further view of Hinden et al. Parrott et al., Berdan, II, Clarke and Hinden et al. teach the duct as discussed in paragraph 13 of Paper 10. The bonding agent of Parrott et al. is necessarily noncombustible since Parrott et al. teach that the insulating mineral wool material that is bonded by the bonding agent provides a fire-resisting material (second full paragraph of page 3).

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Walter B. Aughenbaugh whose telephone number is 571-272-

1488. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 9:00am to 6:00pm and on alternate Fridays from 9:00am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon, can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Walter B. Aughenbaugh

08/23/04

WBA

HAROLD PYON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER